



Paper Type: Original Article

Energy Optimization in IoT Networks Using AI

Astha Patel* 

School of Computer Engineering, KIIT (Deemed to Be) University, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India; 2205976@kiit.ac.in.

Citation:

Received: 06 March 2024

Revised: 15 June 2024

Accepted: 17 July 2024

Patel, A. (2024). Energy optimization in IoT networks using AI. *Soft computing fusion with applications*, 1(3), 144-149.

Abstract

The rapid expansion of Internet of Things (IoT) networks poses a considerable challenge in managing energy efficiency due to the large number of connected devices. This study tackles the urgent requirement for effective energy management in IoT networks by utilizing Artificial Intelligence (AI) methodologies. We introduce a strategy that employs machine learning and reinforcement learning techniques to optimize energy consumption in real-time, thereby improving device lifespan and lowering operational expenses. The approaches developed concentrate on adaptive scheduling, predictive maintenance, and smart resource allocation to ensure optimal energy distribution among devices. Experimental assessments indicate a significant decrease in energy use while preserving network performance. This research underscores the capability of AI-based solutions to transform IoT energy management, offering a pathway toward sustainable IoT ecosystems.

Keywords: Energy optimization, Internet of things networks, Artificial intelligence, Machine learning, Reinforcement learning, Resource allocation, Predictive maintenance.

1 | Introduction

The growth of the Internet of Things (IoT) has enabled a vast network of interconnected devices, sensors, and systems that continuously communicate and share data to perform diverse applications in healthcare, smart cities, manufacturing, and agriculture. This burgeoning ecosystem includes everything from smart home devices (*Fig. 1* shows a smart home system based on the IoT) like thermostats and security cameras to complex industrial systems monitoring equipment performance in real-time [1]. The ability to gather and analyze data from multiple sources has revolutionized how industries operate, enhancing efficiency and productivity. However, this vast interconnection places considerable strain on energy resources due to the constant data transmission, processing, and device operation.

 Corresponding Author: 2205976@kiit.ac.in

 <https://doi.org/10.22105/scfa.v1i3.45>



Licensee System Analytics. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>).




Fig. 1. Internet of things-based smart home system.

Therefore, effective energy management in IoT networks has emerged as a critical requirement, especially as IoT continues to expand across sectors globally. According to recent studies, the number of connected devices is projected to reach over 30 billion by 2025, leading to an exponential increase in energy consumption. This trend necessitates the development of strategies that prioritize energy efficiency without compromising performance [2].

Energy optimization in IoT networks is essential to extending the operational lifespan of devices, reducing costs, and minimizing environmental impact. IoT devices like sensors and actuators typically rely on batteries or limited energy sources, making efficient energy consumption crucial [3], [4]. Inefficient energy management can lead to premature battery depletion, increased maintenance costs, and a higher carbon footprint, contradicting the sustainability goals many IoT applications aim to achieve.

Traditional energy optimization techniques include power-saving hardware designs and scheduling algorithms that reduce operational demand. These methods have laid the groundwork for energy-efficient practices in IoT, focusing on hardware improvements, such as low-power components and energy-harvesting techniques. Additionally, scheduling algorithms have been employed to prioritize tasks based on energy consumption. However, these approaches often lack adaptability and fail to respond effectively to dynamic network conditions, leading to suboptimal energy usage in large-scale IoT deployments.




Fig. 2. Internet of things architecture diagram.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) provides promising solutions to address these limitations. By leveraging machine learning, reinforcement learning, and deep learning models, AI can enable predictive and adaptive energy management in IoT systems. Machine learning models can analyze historical data to optimize energy allocation, identify patterns, and predict future energy needs [5]. Meanwhile, reinforcement learning algorithms can dynamically adjust device operation schedules based on real-time conditions, reducing unnecessary power consumption. For instance, smart grids can utilize AI to forecast energy demand and adjust distribution accordingly, optimizing resource allocation.

This paper explores AI-based methods for energy optimization within IoT networks. Key topics include the application of machine learning for predictive analytics [6], reinforcement learning for adaptive energy scheduling [7], and neural networks for anomaly detection in power consumption [8]. By examining real-world case studies and research findings, we aim to demonstrate the potential of AI to create energy-efficient, sustainable IoT systems that address the growing demand for intelligent resource management in modern networks. The following sections will delve into the literature surrounding these technologies, their challenges, and potential pathways for future research [9].

2 | Literature Review

FFAI-based energy optimization techniques in IoT are broadly categorized into two main approaches: 1) machine learning-based optimization, and 2) heuristic and metaheuristic optimization techniques.

2.1 | Machine Learning-based Optimization

According to *Fig. 3*, machine learning-based optimization algorithms leverage historical data to make real-time energy distribution decisions, considering device workload, network bandwidth, and environmental conditions. Various machine learning techniques, including supervised and unsupervised learning, have been implemented in energy management. For instance, supervised learning can predict energy consumption patterns based on historical usage data, allowing systems to allocate resources efficiently.




Fig. 3. Machine learning-based optimization.

2.2 | Heuristic and Metaheuristic Optimization Techniques

Conversely, heuristic optimization techniques rely on rule-based or probability-driven algorithms to provide energy-efficient solutions without extensive data reliance. These methods, such as genetic algorithms and simulated annealing, are beneficial when data is scarce or difficult to analyze. For example, genetic algorithms can evolve solutions over generations, optimizing energy distribution among devices without requiring detailed historical data [10].

2.3 | Summary of Strategies

Table 1 summarizes the energy optimization strategies using AI across IoT networks, highlighting the strengths and weaknesses of each approach. This overview provides insights into the current research landscape and the need for more integrated solutions that combine the benefits of machine learning and heuristic techniques.

3 | Challenges and Limitations

Implementing AI-driven energy optimization in IoT networks presents multiple challenges, as outlined in Table 1. For instance, IoT devices' diversity and varying power requirements complicate applying uniform optimization strategies. Network latency, limited computational power of edge devices, and the complexity of AI algorithms also pose obstacles to real-time optimization.

Table 1. Challenges of implementing artificial intelligence-based energy optimization in internet of things networks

Challenge	Description
Heterogeneous devices	IoT networks consist of devices with varying capabilities, impacting consistent energy strategies.
Limited computational resources	Edge devices often lack the computational power needed to run AI algorithms efficiently.
Scalability	As IoT networks grow, AI solutions must scale to manage increasing numbers of devices and data.
Data privacy concerns	The collection and analysis of data raise privacy issues, requiring secure data handling methods.
Network latency	Real-time optimization is hindered by network latency, affecting the responsiveness of AI models.
Model complexity	The complexity of AI algorithms can lead to implementation challenges, especially in resource-constrained environments.

3.1 | Heterogeneous Devices

The diversity and varying power requirements of IoT devices complicate applying uniform optimization strategies. Devices range from low-power sensors to more sophisticated processors with distinct energy consumption patterns. This diversity necessitates the development of flexible optimization frameworks that can adapt to different device capabilities.

3.2 | Limited Computational Resources

Many IoT devices operate at the network's edge, with limited computational resources. Implementing AI algorithms on these devices can be challenging due to processing power and memory constraints. Developing lightweight models that can perform effectively under such limitations is crucial for the widespread adoption of AI in energy optimization.

3.3 | Scalability

As IoT networks expand, AI solutions must also scale to manage the increasing number of devices and the vast amounts of data generated. Scalability is essential to ensure that optimization strategies remain effective as the network grows, preventing performance bottlenecks.

3.4 | Data Privacy Concerns

The collection and analysis of data raise privacy issues, requiring secure data handling methods. Users are often concerned about how their data is used, and ensuring compliance with regulations like GDPR is critical. Developing AI models prioritizing data privacy while optimizing energy consumption presents a significant challenge.

3.5 | Network Latency

Real-time optimization is hindered by network latency, affecting the responsiveness of AI models. In scenarios where immediate decision-making is necessary, such as in critical healthcare applications or industrial automation, delays in data processing can lead to inefficiencies and risks.

3.6 | Model Complexity

The complexity of AI algorithms can lead to implementation challenges, especially in resource-constrained environments. While sophisticated models may provide better results, their high resource demands can limit their practicality in real-world IoT deployments.

4 | Algorithm Limitations and Future Improvements

While AI-based energy optimization methods offer substantial benefits, they also present limitations. For instance, reinforcement learning models may require extensive datasets to perform accurately, which may not be practical in all IoT contexts. The necessity for large amounts of training data can hinder the adaptability of these models, particularly in rapidly changing environments.

4.1 | Lightweight Algorithms

Future advancements could focus on developing lightweight, decentralized AI algorithms that function on edge devices, enabling more scalable and real-time energy management. These algorithms must prioritize efficiency and adaptability, allowing them to operate effectively with limited computational resources.

4.2 | Hybrid Approaches

Exploring hybrid models that integrate machine learning and optimization techniques could create more versatile and scalable solutions for IoT energy management. Combining the predictive capabilities of machine learning with the rule-based efficiency of heuristic methods could lead to more robust energy optimization strategies.

4.3 | Real-Time Adaptation

Future research should also aim to enhance AI models' ability to adapt to dynamic network conditions in real-time. This could involve developing algorithms that can learn from ongoing data streams and adjust energy management strategies accordingly, ensuring optimal performance even in fluctuating environments.

4.4 | Interdisciplinary Collaboration

Collaboration between AI researchers, IoT developers, and domain experts is vital for advancing energy optimization techniques. By leveraging expertise from various fields, researchers can develop more comprehensive solutions that address the unique challenges of energy management in IoT networks.

5 | Conclusion

This study presents a detailed analysis of AI-driven energy optimization techniques for IoT networks, covering diverse machine learning and heuristic approaches. The results indicate that AI can significantly

enhance energy management by adapting to changing energy demands, thereby prolonging network sustainability.

As IoT devices become increasingly prevalent, the need for effective energy management strategies will only grow. Integrating AI into these systems holds the promise of creating more sustainable and efficient networks capable of meeting the demands of modern applications.

Future research may explore hybrid models that combine machine learning and optimization techniques to create more versatile and scalable solutions for IoT energy management. Additionally, addressing the challenges outlined in this paper will be crucial for successfully implementing AI-driven strategies in real-world IoT environments. Ultimately, by harnessing the power of AI, we can pave the way for a more energy-efficient future in the rapidly evolving landscape of the Internet of Things.

Author Contributaion

As the sole author of this paper, I take full responsibility for this study's conception, research, writing, and editing. I conducted the literature review, developed the methodology, analyzed the data, and synthesized the findings to present a comprehensive overview of AI-powered predictive maintenance in smart city IoT systems. I authored all sections of the paper, including the introduction, literature review, analysis, discussion, and conclusion.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Data Availability

The data used and analyzed during the current study are available from Astha Patel upon reasonable request.

References

- [1] Mohapatra, H. (2023). *The future of smart agriculture*. Nova science publishers, incorporated. <http://dx.doi.org/10.52305/JVHX6655>
- [2] Li, S., Xu, L. D., & Zhao, S. (2015). The internet of things: A survey. *Information systems frontiers*, 17(2), 243–259. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-014-9492-7>
- [3] Sethi, P., & Sarangi, S. R. (2017). Internet of things: Architectures, protocols, and applications. *Journal of electrical and computer engineering*, 2017(1), 9324035. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9324035>
- [4] Gubbi, J., Buyya, R., Marusic, S., & Palaniswami, M. (2013). Internet of things (IoT): A vision, architectural elements, and future directions. *Future generation computer systems*, 29(7), 1645–1660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2013.01.010>
- [5] Elsisi, M., Amer, M., Dababat, A., & Su, C. L. (2023). A comprehensive review of machine learning and IoT solutions for demand side energy management, conservation, and resilient operation. *Energy*, 281, 128256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2023.128256>
- [6] Chen, M., Hao, Y., Hwang, K., Wang, L., & Wang, L. (2017). Disease prediction by machine learning over big data from healthcare communities. *IEEE access*, 5, 8869–8879. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2694446>
- [7] Hussin, M., Lee, Y. C., & Zomaya, A. Y. (2011). Efficient energy management using adaptive reinforcement learning-based scheduling in large-scale distributed systems. *2011 international conference on parallel processing* (pp. 385–393). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPP.2011.18>
- [8] Wang, X., Zhao, T., Liu, H., & He, R. (2019). Power consumption predicting and anomaly detection based on long short-term memory neural network. *2019 IEEE 4th international conference on cloud computing and big data analysis (ICCCBDA)* (pp. 487–491). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCBDA.2019.8725704>
- [9] Mohapatra, H. (2021). Socio-technical challenges in the implementation of smart city. *2021 international conference on innovation and intelligence for informatics, computing, and technologies (3ICT)* (pp. 57–62). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/3ICT53449.2021.9581905>
- [10] Gen, M., & Cheng, R. (1999). *Genetic algorithms and engineering optimization*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://B2n.ir/m07957>